EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF GRADUATING SENIOR SURVEY
SUMMER 2002 – SPRING 2003

This report summarizes the major findings from the Florida International University Graduating Senior Survey, a Continuous Quality Improvement study conducted by the Office of Planning and Institutional Effectiveness. This survey was adapted from a prototype survey developed by the State University System (SUS) Accountability Committee on Survey Activity (Legg, Final Report, 1992). This survey was designed to measure graduating students’ satisfaction with and attitudes about Florida International University. The survey design assured each individual respondent of his or her anonymity in an attempt to facilitate candor.

The Graduating Senior Survey was distributed to 7,236 students who were intended members of the graduating classes of Summer 2002, Fall 2002 and Spring 2003. Eight hundred eighty-three seniors returned the survey for a response rate of twelve percent. The comprehensive survey asked questions about the graduating seniors’ satisfaction with Florida International University in various domains such as the quality and availability of faculty in his or her major, the quality and availability of courses, the quality and availability of academic advising, and the quality of the libraries. The survey also questioned graduating seniors about the frequency of use and quality of services such as Counseling and Psychological Services, the Testing Center, Recreational Services, On-campus student employment, and Health Services.

Twelve principal indicators have been singled out as the most reliable measures of the graduating seniors’ satisfaction with FIU. They have been summarized below.

- **Satisfaction with overall experience at FIU**: 91% of respondent seniors indicated that they were satisfied with their overall experience (26% very satisfied, 65% satisfied).
- **Academic experience**: 89% of respondent seniors indicated that they had a positive academic experience (29% excellent, 60% good ratings).
- **Challenged**: 92% of respondent seniors indicated that they had been challenged to do the best that they could at FIU (49% most of the time, 43% some of the time).
- **Recommend FIU**: 91% of respondent seniors reported that they would recommend FIU to a friend or relative considering college (50% without reservations, 41% with reservations).
- **Satisfaction with department of major**: 73% of respondent seniors agreed that they were satisfied with the department of their major (25% strongly agreed, 48% agreed).
• **Professors, in my major, were good teachers:** 81% of respondent seniors agreed that their professors were good teachers (31% strongly agreed, 50% agreed).

• **Professors, in my major, were available outside class:** 80% of respondent seniors agreed that their professors were available outside class (32% strongly agreed, 48% agreed).

• **Quality of other undergraduates:** 74% of respondent seniors gave the quality of their fellow students’ favorable ratings (12% excellent, 62% good).

• **Responsiveness of FIU administration to student academic problems:** 52% of respondent seniors rated the administration as responsive to student problems (12% excellent, 40% good).

• **Responsiveness of FIU support services to student needs:** 56% of respondent seniors rated the responsiveness of FIU support services favorably (13% gave excellent ratings, 43% good).

• **Courses, in my major, prepared me for employment:** 64% of respondent seniors agreed that their courses prepared them for employment (20% strongly agreed, 44% agreed).

• **Courses, in my major, prepared me for graduate or professional school:** 68% of respondent seniors agreed that their courses prepared them for further study (23% strongly agreed, 45% agreed).

**Items with the Highest Correlations:**

• To the extent that graduating senior respondents believed that the FIU administration was responsive to student academic problem, they also believed that FIU support services were responsive to student needs \( (r = .71, p < .001) \).

• To the extent that graduating respondents agreed that courses in their major prepared them for employment, they also agreed that the quality of courses in their major prepared them for graduate or professional school \( (r = .66, p < .001) \).

• To the extent that respondent seniors were satisfied with FIU, they would recommend FIU to a friend or relative considering college \( (r = .57, p < .001) \).

**Strongest Predictors of Academic Experience:**

• Ratings of overall experience at FIU
• Ratings of how likely the were to recommend FIU to a friend or relative considering college
• Ratings of how well the quality of courses in their major prepared them for graduate school
Response rates to the survey continue to be a problem, the overall number of student responses has decreased from a total of 987 in 2000-2001 to 883 for the Summer 2002-Spring 2003. The administration of the survey online may contribute to this problem because online surveys generally have lower response rates than paper versions of surveys. However, when the survey was placed online, it was divided into three surveys instead of one, thereby giving each individual student fewer survey items to answer. Currently, the survey administrator and the college/school deans are utilizing the email address assigned to each student at FIU to notify the student that the survey is available. A greater effort needs to be made by the Administration, the Deans, and faculty members to get the students to activate and use this email account (or at least forward mail in this account to another preferred account). Finding ways to streamline the surveys may also increase the response rate. Online surveys are very cost-effective and will continue to be utilized for the foreseeable future.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the data contained in this document is accurate. For further information about this and other Continuous Quality Improvement Survey Reports, visit our website at http://www.fiu.edu/~opie/effectiveness.htm, or contact Yasmin LaRocca at larocca@fiu.edu or the Vice Provost for Planning and Institutional Effectiveness at 305-348-2731, (FAX) 305-348-1908. You may also visit the Office of Planning and Institutional Effectiveness at University Park, PC 543.